

**Content:**

Theorem	Division Algorithm in $\mathbb{Z}$ and $k[x]$
Definition	$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$
Example	$(2, x) = \{f(x) \mid \text{constant term is even}\}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ ; $(2, x) = \mathbb{Q}[x]$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ ; $(x^2, x^3) = (x^2)$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$
Definition	irreducible, associate, prime elements
Proposition	If $p(x) \in k[x]$ , then $p(x)$ is irreducible $\Leftrightarrow \deg(p(x)) = n \geq 1$ and there is no factorization in $k[x]$ of the form $p(x) = f(x)g(x)$ where $\deg f < n$ and $\deg g < n$ .
Definition	gcd in a commutative ring
Theorem	If $R$ is a PID, $a, b \in R$ , then (1) a gcd of $a$ and $b$ exists, (2) If $d$ is a gcd of $a$ and $b$ then $\exists x, y \in R$ such that $d = ax + by$ .

**Recall**

Division Algorithm for  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\exists! q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = bq + r$  and  $0 \leq r < |b|$

Division Algorithm in  $k[x]$

If  $f(x), g(x) \in k[x]$ , then  $\exists! q(x), r(x) \in k[x]$  such that

$f(x) = g(x)q(x) + r(x)$  where  $r(x) = 0$  or  $\deg r(x) < \deg g(x)$

We used this to show  $k[x]$  is a PID. We noticed the elements of smallest degree generate principal ideals.

$(a)$  is the ideal generated by  $a$ . So if  $a \in I$  and  $I$  is an ideal in  $R$ , then  $(a) \subseteq I$ .

**Definition**  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is the smallest ideal containing  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ .

**Example**

Consider  $(2, x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Let  $I = \{f(x) \mid \text{constant term is even}\}$

$(2, x) = I$ ? Yes.

**Proof:**

Recall that we showed  $I$  is an ideal last term (see p. 147)

and that  $I$  is not principal, hence  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is not a PID.

So, to get  $(2, x) \subseteq I$ , we need only show  $2, x \in I$ .

Since 2 and  $x$  are polynomials with even constant term, then  $2, x \in I$ .

To get  $I \subseteq (2, x)$ , we work with an arbitrary element in  $I$ .

Let  $f(x) \in I$ , then  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + 2k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
 $= x(a_n x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1) + 2k$ .

Since  $x(a_n x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1) \in (2, x)$  and  $2k \in (2, x)$ , then  $f(x) \in (2, x)$ .

$\therefore I \subseteq (2, x)$ , hence  $(2, x) = I$ .

**Example** Consider  $(2, x)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .  
 $(2, x) = \mathbb{Q}[x]$ ? Yes.

**Proof:**

Since  $\frac{1}{2}(2) = 1 \in (2, x)$ , then we get the whole ring.

Or, alternatively, since 2 is a unit, we get the whole ring.

**Example** Consider  $(x^2, x^3)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .  
 Is  $(x^2, x^3) = (x^2)$ ? Yes.

**Proof:**

Note that  $(x^2, x^3)$  is an ideal that contains  $x^2$  (i.e.  $x^2 \in (x^2, x^3)$ ) and  $(x^2)$  is the smallest ideal in  $k[x]$  that contains  $x^2$ .

Thus  $(x^2) \subseteq (x^2, x^3)$ .

To show the reverse containment, note that  $x^3 = x^2 \cdot x \in (x^2)$  as  $x \in k[x]$ .

Thus  $(x^2)$  is an ideal in  $k[x]$  that contains  $x^2$  and  $x^3$ .

And since  $(x^2, x^3)$  is the smallest ideal in  $k[x]$  that contains  $x^2$  and  $x^3$ , we have  $(x^2, x^3) \subseteq (x^2)$ .

$\therefore (x^2) = (x^2, x^3)$ .

**Example** Consider  $f(x) = x^2 - 4$ ,  $g(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - 4)$ , and  $h(x) = x^2 + 4$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .  
 $f$  and  $g$  have the same degree and same roots, so they very much alike. The  $\frac{1}{2}$  is of little consequence. But  $h$  is different from  $f$  and  $g$  as it cannot be broken down.

**Definition** Let  $R$  be an integral domain.

(1) Let  $r \in R$ .  $r$  is *irreducible* if whenever  $r = pq$  then  $p \in U(R)$  or  $q \in U(R)$ . [Here assume  $r \neq 0$  and  $r \notin U(R)$ .]

(2) Let  $a, b \in R$ . We say  $a$  and  $b$  are *associates* if  $a = bu$  for some  $u \in U(R)$ .

(3) Let  $p \in R$ ,  $p \neq 0$  and  $p \notin U(R)$ . We say  $p$  is a *prime* element if whenever  $p|ab$ , then  $p|a$  or  $p|b$ .

**Note** If  $g(x) = \frac{1}{2}f(x)$  in an integral domain, then  $\deg g = \deg \frac{1}{2} + \deg f$ . Thus, we can see that the definition of irreducible will apply if  $g$  is irreducible.

**Example** 3 and  $-3$  are irreducible, associate, and prime elements in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Note** Some stuff you'll explore in the homework assignment:  
 prime element  $\Rightarrow$  irreducible but not the reverse implication  
 For example, since 3 is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ , and  
 $3|(2 + \sqrt{-5})(2 - \sqrt{-5}) = 9$ , but  $3 \nmid (2 + \sqrt{-5})$  and  $3 \nmid (2 - \sqrt{-5})$ .

However, if  $R$  is a PID, then irreducible  $\Leftrightarrow$  prime element.

**Proposition** Let  $p(x) \in k[x]$  ( $k$  will always denote a field now).  
 Then  $p(x)$  is irreducible  $\Leftrightarrow \deg(p(x)) = n \geq 1$  and there is no factorization in  $k[x]$  of the form  $p(x) = f(x)g(x)$  where  $\deg f < n$  and  $\deg g < n$ .

**Proof:**

Straightforward, you can read it in the book (p. 135)

We first show that  $g(x) \in k[x]$  is a unit if and only if  $\deg g = 0$ .

Assume  $g(x)$  is a unit, then  $g(x)u(x) = 1$ , then  $\deg g + \deg u = \deg 1 = 0$ ;  
 since degrees are nonnegative, we have  $\deg g(x) = 0$ .

Conversely, if  $\deg g(x) = 0$ , then  $g(x)$  is a nonzero constant;  
 that is,  $g(x) \in k$ . Since  $k$  is a field,  $g(x)$  has an inverse, hence  
 $g(x)$  is a unit.

Assume  $p(x)$  is irreducible, then, by definition, it is not a unit or 0,  
 hence it has degree  $n \geq 1$ . And its only factorizations are of the form  
 $p(x) = f(x)g(x)$ , where  $f(x)$  or  $g(x)$  is a unit; that is, where either  
 $\deg f(x) = 0$  or  $\deg g(x) = 0$ .

$\therefore p(x)$  has no factorization in which both factors have smaller degree.

Conversely, assume  $p(x)$  has degree  $n \geq 1$  and is not irreducible. Then  
 it has a factorization  $p(x) = f(x)g(x)$ , in which neither  $f(x)$  nor  $g(x)$  is a  
 unit; that is, neither  $f(x)$  nor  $g(x)$  has degree 0.

$\therefore p(x)$  has a factorization as a product of polynomials of smaller  
 degree.

**Question** If  $f(x)$  has no roots is  $f(x)$  irreducible?  
 Not necessarily.  
 $(x^2 + 4)^2$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  is reducible but has no roots.

If  $f(x)$  has a root is  $f(x)$  irreducible?

Maybe.

$x - 2$  has a root and is irreducible.

$(x - 2)(x^2 + 1)$  has a root and is reducible.

If the degree of a polynomial is  $\geq 2$ , then having a root implies  
 reducible.

3.5 p. 147

**Definition** Let  $a, b \in R$ ,  $R$  a commutative ring.  $d \in R$  is a **gcd** of  $a$  and  $b$  if

- (1)  $d|a$  and  $d|b$
- (2) Whenever  $c|a$  and  $c|b$ , then  $c|d$ .

**Theorem** Let  $R$  be a PID. Let  $a, b \in R$ .

- (1) A gcd of  $a$  and  $b$  exists.
- (2) If  $d$  is a gcd of  $a$  and  $b$  then  $\exists x, y \in R$  such that  $d = ax + by$ .

**Proof:**

If  $a = b = 0$ , then  $d = 0$ . Assume  $a$  and  $b$  are not both 0.

Let  $I = \{ar + bs \mid r, s \in R\}$ . We first will show  $I$  is an ideal.

We have  $a \cdot 0 + b \cdot 0 = 0 \in I$ .

Let  $ar + bs, ar' + bs' \in I$ . Then since  $R$  is commutative, we have  $(ar + bs) - (ar' + bs') = a(r - r') + b(s - s') \in I$ .

Let  $m \in R$ . Then  $(ar + bs)m = a(rm) + b(sm) \in I$  as  $rm, sm \in R$ .

$\therefore I$  is an ideal.

Since  $R$  is a PID, then  $\exists d \in R$  such that  $I = (d)$ . We will show  $d$  is a gcd of  $a$  and  $b$ .

Since  $a \cdot 1 + b \cdot 0 = a \in I$ , then  $a = dk$  for some  $k \in R$ . So  $d|a$ .

Similarly  $d|b$ .

Assume  $c|a$  and  $c|b$ , then  $a = cm$  and  $b = cn$  for some  $m, n \in R$ .

And since  $d \in I$ , then  $\exists x, y \in R$  such that

$$d = ax + by = cmx + cny = c(mx + ny).$$

$\therefore c|d$ , hence  $d$  is a gcd of  $a$  and  $b$ .

**Next Time** For Wednesday, think about if  $p$  is irreducible, then what could  $\gcd(p, a)$  be?