

Content:

Example

$$f(x) = x^2 - x - 2 = \left(\frac{5x}{2} + \frac{5}{2}\right)\left(\frac{2x}{5} - \frac{4}{5}\right) = \frac{5}{2}(x+1) \cdot \frac{2}{5}(x-2) = (x+1)(x-2)$$

Theorem

(Gauss) If R is a UFD, Q its field of fractions, $f(x) \in R[x]$, $f(x) = G(x)H(x)$ where $G(x), H(x) \in Q[x]$, then $\exists g(x), h(x) \in R[x]$ such that $\deg G(x) = \deg g(x)$ and $\deg H(x) = \deg h(x)$ and $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$.

Example

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{3}x^4 - 2x^2 + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{24}(8x^4 - 48x + 3)$$

Theorem

(mod p test) If $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, p is a prime number, $\bar{f}(x) = [a_0] + [a_1]x + \dots + [a_n]x^n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$, $\deg f(x) = \deg \bar{f}(x)$ and $\bar{f}(x)$ is irreducible, then $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Example

$f(x) = x^3 + x + 1$ has no roots in \mathbb{Z}_2 so $f(x)$ is irreducible.

Theorem

Rational Root Test. If $a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $a_n \neq 0$; $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, r and s are relatively prime, and r/s is a root of $f(x)$, then $r|a_0$ and $s|a_n$.

Theorem

Eisenstein's Criterion. If $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $a_n \neq 0$; and there is p , a prime number, such that $p|a_i \forall i < n$, $p^2 \nmid a_0$ and $p \nmid a_n$, then $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Proposition

If $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, and $\exists c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f(x+c)$ is irred then $f(x)$ is irreducible.

Example

If p is prime and $f(x) = 1 + x + \dots + x^{p-1}$, then $f(x)$ is irreducible.

Question

Does reducibility over $\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow$ reducibility over \mathbb{Q} ? No.

$2x + 2$ is reducible over \mathbb{Z} , but not over \mathbb{Q} . $2x + 2 = 2(x + 1)$.

Question

Does reducibility over $\mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow$ reducibility over \mathbb{Z} ? Yes.

Example

$$f(x) = x^2 - x - 2 = \left(\frac{5x}{2} + \frac{5}{2}\right)\left(\frac{2x}{5} - \frac{4}{5}\right) = \frac{5}{2}(x+1) \cdot \frac{2}{5}(x-2) = (x+1)(x-2)$$

We can always do this.

Theorem

(Gauss) Let R be a UFD, Q its field of fractions, $f(x) \in R[x]$. If $f(x) = G(x)H(x)$ where $G(x), H(x) \in Q[x]$, then $\exists g(x), h(x) \in R[x]$ such that $\deg G(x) = \deg g(x)$ and $\deg H(x) = \deg h(x)$ and $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$.

Proof:

p. 334. Read it.

By Lemma 6.24 (i) (Let R be a UFD, $Q = \text{Frac}(R)$, $f(x) \in Q[x]$. Then there is a factorization $f(x) = c(f)f^*(x)$ such that $c(f) \in Q$, $f^*(x)$ is primitive in $R[x]$. This factorization is unique ...), the factorization $f(x) = G(x)H(x)$ in $Q[x]$ give $q, q' \in Q$ with $f(x) = qG^*(x)q'H^*(x)$ in $Q[x]$, where $G^*(x), H^*(x) \in R[x]$ are primitive. But $G^*(x)H^*(x)$ is primitive, by Gauss's lemma. Since $f(x) \in R[x]$, Lemma 6.24 (iii) (Let $f(x) \in Q[x]$ such that $f(x) = qf^*(x)$ where $q \in Q$ and $f^*(x)$ is primitive in $R[x]$. Then

$f(x) \in R[x] \Leftrightarrow q \in R$.) applies to say that the equation

$$f(x) = qq'[G^*(x)H^*(x)] \text{ forces } qq' \in R.$$

Therefore, $qq'G^*(x) \in R[x]$, and a factorization of $f(x)$ in $R[x]$ is

$$f(x) = [qq'G^*(x)]H^*(x).$$

Example $f(x) = \frac{1}{3}x^4 - 2x^2 + \frac{1}{8}$ Explore the irreducibility of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{3}x^4 - 2x^2 + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{24}(8x^4 - 48x^2 + 3).$$

Suppose we have tools to determine irreducibility of $(8x^4 - 48x^2 + 3)$.

Theorem (mod p test)

Let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, p is a prime number.

Let $\bar{f}(x) = [a_0] + [a_1]x + \dots + [a_n]x^n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.

If $\deg f(x) = \deg \bar{f}(x)$ and $\bar{f}(x)$ is irreducible, then $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Proof:

Define $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ by $\varphi(f(x)) = \bar{f}(x)$.

By contraposition, assume f is reducible over \mathbb{Q} and $\deg f = \deg \bar{f}$.

We will show $\bar{f}(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.

Since $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, then by previous theorem by Gauss, we may assume $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ where $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\deg g(x) < \deg f(x)$ and $\deg h(x) < \deg f(x)$.

Since φ is a homomorphism, then

$$\varphi(f(x)) = \varphi(g(x)h(x)) = \varphi(g(x))\varphi(h(x)).$$

And since $\deg \varphi(g(x)) \leq \deg g(x) < \deg f(x) = \deg \bar{f}(x)$

and $\deg \varphi(h(x)) \leq \deg h(x) < \deg f(x) = \deg \bar{f}(x)$, then

we have a non-trivial factorization of $\bar{f}(x)$.

So $\bar{f}(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.

Example $f(x) = x^3 + x + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

$\bar{f}(x) = [1]x^3 + [1]x + [1]$ has no roots in \mathbb{Z}_2 so $\bar{f}(x)$ is irreducible, hence $f(x)$ is irreducible by mod p test.

Theorem Rational Root Test (Thm 3.43, p. 141)

Let $a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $a_n \neq 0$.

If $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, r and s are relatively prime, and r/s is a root of $f(x)$, then $r|a_0$ and $s|a_n$.

Proof:

$$0 = f(r/s) = a_0 + a_1(r/s) + \dots + a_n(r/s)^n = a_0s^n + a_1rs^{n-1} + \dots + a_nr^n.$$

$$\text{So } a_nr^n = -a_0s^n - a_1rs^{n-1} - \dots - a_{n-1}r^{n-1}s.$$

So $s|a_nr^n$. Since s, r are relatively prime, it follows that s and r^n are relatively prime and so Euclid's lemma in \mathbb{Z} gives $s|a_n$.

Similarly $a_0s^n = -a_1rs^{n-1} - \dots - a_{n-1}r^{n-1}s - a_nr^n$, and $r|a_0$.

Theorem Eisenstein's Criterion.

Let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $a_n \neq 0$. If there is a prime number, p , such that $p|a_i \forall i < n$, $p^2 \nmid a_0$ and $p \nmid a_n$, then $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof:

By contraposition, assume $f(x)$ is reducible over \mathbb{Q} , $p|a_i \forall i < n$, and $p \nmid a_n$. We will show $p^2 | a_0$.

Since $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, then by previous theorem by Gauss, we may assume $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ where $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\deg g(x) < \deg f(x)$ and $\deg h(x) < \deg f(x)$.

Define $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ by

$$\varphi(f(x)) = \bar{f}(x) = [a_0] + [a_1]x + \cdots + [a_n]x^n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x].$$

So we have $\bar{f}(x) = \varphi(f(x)) = \varphi(g(x))\varphi(h(x)) = \bar{g}(x)\bar{h}(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.

Since $p|a_i \forall i < n$ and $p \nmid a_n$, then we know

$$\bar{f}(x) = [a_n]x^n = [a_n]x \cdot x \cdots \text{ where } a_n \text{ is a unit in } \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ and } [a_n] \neq 0,$$

By Thm 3.42 (Unique Factorization: If k is a field, then every polynomial $f(x) \in k[x]$ of degree ≥ 1 is a product of a nonzero constant and monic irreducibles.

Moreover, if $f(x) = ap_1(x) \cdots p_m(x)$ and $f(x) = bq_1(x) \cdots q_n(x)$, where a and b are nonzero constants and the p 's and q 's are monic irreducibles, then $a = b$, $m = n$, and the q 's may be reindexed so that $q_i = p_i$ for all i), we must have that $\bar{g}(x) =$

$$[b_m]x^m \text{ and } \bar{h}(x) = [c_k]x^k \text{ where } [b_m], [c_k] \text{ are units in } \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ and } m + k = n.$$

Note that $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_mx^m$ and $h(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_kx^k$.

Since $\bar{g}(x) = [b_m]x^m$, it follows that $[b_0] = 0$. So $p|b_0$. Similarly $p|c_0$.

$p|b_0, p|c_0$ and p prime $\Rightarrow p^2|b_0c_0$. Thus $p^2|a_0$.

Proposition Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. If $\exists c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f(x+c)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, then $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Proof:

By contraposition, assume $f(x)$ is reducible over \mathbb{Q} .

We will show $f(x+c)$ is reducible.

Since $f(x)$ is reducible over \mathbb{Q} then by previous theorem by Gauss, we can assume $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ where $\deg g(x) < \deg f(x)$ and $\deg h(x) < \deg f(x)$.

Define $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x]$ by $\varphi(f(x)) = f(x+c)$.

We have proven previously that φ is a ring homomorphism.

Note that $\deg f(x) = \deg (f(x))$.

So $f(x+c) = \varphi(f(x)) = \varphi(g(x))\varphi(h(x))$ where $\deg \varphi(g(x)) < \deg f(x+c)$ and $\deg \varphi(h(x)) < \deg f(x+c)$. Thus, $f(x+c)$ is reducible.

\therefore By contraposition $f(x+c)$ irreducible $\Rightarrow f(x)$ irreducible.

Example Let p be prime and $f(x) = 1 + x + \cdots + x^{p-1}$, then $f(x)$ is irreducible.

Proof:

$$f(x) = \frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1}. \quad \text{So } f(x+1) = \frac{(x+1)^p - 1}{x} = \frac{x^p + \binom{p}{p-1}x^{p-1} + \cdots + \binom{p}{2}x^2 + \binom{p}{1}x + 1 - 1}{x} =$$

$$x^{p-1} + \binom{p}{p-1}x^{p-2} + \cdots + \binom{p}{2}x + \binom{p}{1}.$$

So, by Eisenstein, $f(x+1)$ is irreducible. $\therefore f(x)$ is irreducible.