

**Content:**

- Theorem** 3.11 (a) Every convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence.  
 (b) If  $(X, d)$  is a compact metric space and if  $\{p_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, then  $\{p_n\}$  converges in  $X$ .  
 (c) In  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , every Cauchy sequence is convergent.
- Definition** Complete metric space
- Definition** Monotone increasing/decreasing
- Theorem**(3.14) (a) If  $\{s_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is increasing and bounded from above, it is convergent.  
 (b) If  $\{s_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is decreasing and bounded from below, it is convergent.

- Review** Definition 3.8 In a metric space  $(X, d)$ , a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  is a Cauchy Sequence if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(p_n, p_m) < \varepsilon, \forall n, m \geq N_\varepsilon$ .
- Lemma 1 If  $\{p_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, then it is bounded.
- Lemma 2 Let  $\{p_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence. If there is a subsequence  $\{p_{n_k}\}$  such that  $p_{n_k} \rightarrow p \in X$  then  $p_n \rightarrow p$ .

- Theorem** 3.11 (a) Every convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence.  
 (b) If  $(X, d)$  is a compact metric space and if  $\{p_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, then  $\{p_n\}$  converges in  $X$ .  
 (c) In  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

**Proof:**

- (a) See Remark (1) Lecture Notes 11/10/10.
- (b) Assume  $(X, d)$  is compact and  $\{p_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.  
*Case 1:* Just finitely many different terms exist in  $\{p_n\}$ .  
 Example:  $X = [-1, 1], p_n = (-1)^n$ . This won't work.  
 Suppose  $\exists x \neq y$  such that  $p_{n_k} = x \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p_{n_i} = y \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
 The problem here is that  $x \neq y \Rightarrow d(x, y) > 0$ , so for  $r$  small enough we can find  $p_{n_k} \in B_r(x)$  such that  $p_{n_i} \notin B_r(y)$ .  
 The case of finitely many different terms works just if  $p_n = p \forall n > N$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $p_n \rightarrow p$ .  
*Case 2:* There are infinitely many different terms in  $\{p_n\}$ .  
 Then by Theorem 2.37 (If  $E$  is an infinite subset of a compact set  $K$ , then  $E$  has a limit point.) there is a limit point,  $p$ , of these terms.  
 Construct a subsequence  $p_{n_k} \rightarrow p$ . Then use Lemma 2  
 (Let  $\{p_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence. If there is a subsequence  $\{p_{n_k}\}$  such that  $p_{n_k} \rightarrow p \in X$  then  $p_n \rightarrow p$ .)  
 to show  $p_n \rightarrow p$ .
- (c) Let  $\{p_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  be a Cauchy sequence.  
 Lemma 1 (If  $\{p_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, then it is bounded.) gives us that  $\{p_n\}$  is bounded, so  $\exists R > 0$  such that  $p_n \in \overline{B_R(0)} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
 Since  $\overline{B_R(0)}$  is closed and bounded, then  $\overline{B_R(0)}$  is compact.  
 So then by part (b)  $\{p_n\}$  is convergent.

**Remark** In  $\mathbb{R}^k$  the notion of Cauchy sequence is equivalent to the notion of convergent sequence.

**Definition** 3.12 A metric space  $(X, d)$  in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is called a *complete* metric space.

**Examples**  $X = \mathbb{R}^k$  is complete.  
 $X = [a, b]$  is complete.  
 $X = (a, b)$  is not complete as  $x_n = a + 1/n$ .  
 $X = \mathbb{Q}$  is not complete  $x_n \rightarrow \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .  
 $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$  where  $d(f, g) = \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x) - g(x)|$  is complete.

**Proposition** If  $(X, d)$  is a compact metric space then it is complete.  
 This is true by Theorem 3.11(b).

**Definition** 3.13  $\{s_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ .  
 $\{s_n\}$  is *monotone increasing* if  $s_n \leq s_{n+1} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
 $\{s_n\}$  is *monotone decreasing* if  $s_n \geq s_{n+1} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem** 3.14  
**(a)** If  $\{s_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is increasing and bounded from above, it is convergent.  
**(b)** If  $\{s_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is decreasing and bounded from below, it is convergent.

**Proof:**

**(a)** Let  $s = \sup \{s_n\}$ . Then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $s - \varepsilon < s_{N_\varepsilon} \leq s$ .  
 $\forall n > N_\varepsilon$  we have  $s - \varepsilon < s_{N_\varepsilon} \leq s_n \leq s < s + \varepsilon$ .

Then  $|s_n - s| < \varepsilon, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**(b)** Proof is similar.